Modeling and Simulation of Electromagnetic Materials

Prof. Nathan L. Gibson

Department of Mathematics



Graduate Student Seminar April 19, 2017

Projects

Electromagnetics

- Maxwells Equations
- Dispersive Media
- Numerical Analysis
- Inverse Problems
- Ø Hydropower Reservoir Networks
 - River system and modeling equations
 - Optimal Control
 - Robust Optimization
- Magnetohydrodynamics
 - Modeling
 - Numerical Methods
 - Inverse Problems

Collaborators

- H. T. Banks (NCSU)
- V. A. Bokil (OSU)
- W. P. Winfree (NASA)

Students

- Karen Barrese and Neel Chugh (REU 2008)
- Anne Marie Milne and Danielle Wedde (REU 2009)
- Erin Bela and Erik Hortsch (REU 2010)
- Megan Armentrout (MS 2011)
- Brian McKenzie (MS 2011)
- Duncan McGregor (PhD 2016)

Electromagnetics

- Maxwell's Equations
- Polarization Models
- Distribution of Parameters
- Polynomial Chaos
- Results

Electromagnetics

- Maxwell's Equations
- Polarization Models
- Distribution of Parameters
- Polynomial Chaos
- Results

2 Conclusions

Electromagnetics

- Maxwell's Equations
- Polarization Models
- Distribution of Parameters
- Polynomial Chaos
- Results

2 Conclusions

Maxwell's Equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{J} &= \nabla \times \mathbf{H} \quad \text{(Ampere)} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} &= -\nabla \times \mathbf{E} \quad \text{(Faraday)} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} &= \rho \quad \text{(Poisson)} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} &= 0 \quad \text{(Gauss)} \end{aligned}$$

- **E** = Electric field vector
- **H** = Magnetic field vector
- $\rho =$ Electric charge density
- **D** = Electric flux density
- **B** = Magnetic flux density
- **J** = Current density

With appropriate initial conditions and boundary conditions.

Prof. Gibson (OSL

Maxwell's equations are completed by constitutive laws that describe the response of the medium to the electromagnetic field.

$$\mathbf{D} = \epsilon \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P}$$
$$\mathbf{B} = \mu \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{M}$$
$$\mathbf{J} = \sigma \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{J}_s$$

- $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}$ olarization $\epsilon = \mathbf{E}$ lectric permittivity
- $\mathbf{M}=-$ Magnetization μ
- $J_s = Source Current$
- $\mu =$ Magnetic permeability
- $\sigma =$ Electric Conductivity

• We can usually define **P** in terms of a convolution

$$\mathbf{P}(t,\mathbf{x}) = g * \mathbf{E}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \int_0^t g(t-s,\mathbf{x};\mathbf{q})\mathbf{E}(s,\mathbf{x})ds,$$

where g is the dielectric response function (DRF).

- In the frequency domain $\hat{\mathbf{D}} = \epsilon \hat{\mathbf{E}} + \hat{\mathbf{g}}\hat{\mathbf{E}} = \epsilon_0 \epsilon(\omega)\hat{\mathbf{E}}$, where $\epsilon(\omega)$ is called the complex permittivity.
- $\epsilon(\omega)$ described by the polarization model
- We are interested in ultra-wide bandwidth electromagnetic pulse interrogation of dispersive dielectrics, therefore we want an accurate representation of $\epsilon(\omega)$ over a broad range of frequencies.

Dispersive Dielectrics

Debye Material

Input is five cycles (periods) of a sine curve.

Dispersive Media



Figure: Debye model simulations.

Dry skin data



Dry skin data



$$\mathbf{P}(t,\mathbf{x}) = g * \mathbf{E}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \int_0^t g(t-s,\mathbf{x};\mathbf{q})\mathbf{E}(s,\mathbf{x})ds,$$

• Debye model [1929] $\mathbf{q} = [\epsilon_d, \tau]$

$$g(t, \mathbf{x}) = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_d / \tau \ e^{-t/\tau}$$

or $\tau \dot{\mathbf{P}} + \mathbf{P} = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_d \mathbf{E}$
or $\epsilon(\omega) = \epsilon_\infty + \frac{\epsilon_d}{1 + i\omega\tau}$

with $\epsilon_d := \epsilon_s - \epsilon_\infty$ and τ a relaxation time.

$$\mathbf{P}(t,\mathbf{x}) = g * \mathbf{E}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \int_0^t g(t-s,\mathbf{x};\mathbf{q})\mathbf{E}(s,\mathbf{x})ds,$$

• Debye model [1929] $\mathbf{q} = [\epsilon_d, \tau]$

$$g(t, \mathbf{x}) = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_d / \tau \ e^{-t/\tau}$$

or $\tau \dot{\mathbf{P}} + \mathbf{P} = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_d \mathbf{E}$
or $\epsilon(\omega) = \epsilon_\infty + \frac{\epsilon_d}{1 + i\omega\tau}$

with $\epsilon_{\textit{d}} := \epsilon_{\textit{s}} - \epsilon_{\infty}$ and τ a relaxation time.

• Cole-Cole model [1936] (heuristic generalization) $\mathbf{q} = [\epsilon_d, \tau, \alpha]$

$$\epsilon(\omega) = \epsilon_{\infty} + \frac{\epsilon_d}{1 + (i\omega\tau)^{1-\alpha}}$$

• The Cole-Cole model corresponds to a fractional order ODE in the time-domain and is difficult to simulate

- The Cole-Cole model corresponds to a fractional order ODE in the time-domain and is difficult to simulate
- Debye is efficient to simulate, but does not represent permittivity well

- The Cole-Cole model corresponds to a fractional order ODE in the time-domain and is difficult to simulate
- Debye is efficient to simulate, but does not represent permittivity well
- Better fits to data are obtained by taking linear combinations of Debye models (discrete distributions), idea comes from the known existence of multiple physical mechanisms: multi-pole debye (like stair-step approximation)

- The Cole-Cole model corresponds to a fractional order ODE in the time-domain and is difficult to simulate
- Debye is efficient to simulate, but does not represent permittivity well
- Better fits to data are obtained by taking linear combinations of Debye models (discrete distributions), idea comes from the known existence of multiple physical mechanisms: multi-pole debye (like stair-step approximation)
- An alternative approach is to consider the Debye model but with a (continuous) distribution of relaxation times [von Schweidler1907]

- The Cole-Cole model corresponds to a fractional order ODE in the time-domain and is difficult to simulate
- Debye is efficient to simulate, but does not represent permittivity well
- Better fits to data are obtained by taking linear combinations of Debye models (discrete distributions), idea comes from the known existence of multiple physical mechanisms: multi-pole debye (like stair-step approximation)
- An alternative approach is to consider the Debye model but with a (continuous) distribution of relaxation times [von Schweidler1907]
- Empirical measurements suggest a log-normal or Beta distribution [Wagner1913] (but uniform is easier)





Distributions of Parameters

To account for the effect of possible multiple parameter sets \mathbf{q} , consider replacing the DRF with

$$h(t,\mathbf{x};F) = \int_{\mathcal{Q}} g(t,\mathbf{x};\mathbf{q}) dF(\mathbf{q}),$$

where Q is some admissible set and $F \in \mathfrak{P}(Q)$. Then the polarization becomes:

$$\mathbf{P}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{Q}} g(t-s,\mathbf{x};\mathbf{q}) dF(\mathbf{q}) \mathbf{E}(s,\mathbf{x}) ds.$$

Alternatively we can swap the order of integration

$$\mathbf{P}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \int_0^t g(t-s,\mathbf{x};\mathbf{q}) \mathbf{E}(s,\mathbf{x}) ds dF(\mathbf{q})$$

and define the random polarization $\mathcal{P}(t, \mathbf{x}; F)$ to be the polarization corresponding to a random q, thus the macroscopic polarization \mathbf{P} is understood to be the expected value of \mathcal{P} .

Prof. Gibson (OSU

Random Polarization

For the Debye model the random polarization $\mathcal{P}(t, \mathbf{x}; F)$ satisfies

$$\tau \dot{\mathcal{P}} + \mathcal{P} = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_d \mathbf{E}$$

where τ is a random variable with PDF $f(\tau)$, for example,

$$f(\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau_b - \tau_a}$$

for a uniform distribution $\mathcal{U}[\tau_a, \tau_b]$.

The electric field depends on the macroscopic polarization, which in this example becomes

$$\mathbf{P}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\tau_b - \tau_a} \int_{\tau_a}^{\tau_b} \mathcal{P}(t,\mathbf{x};\tau) d\tau.$$

Polynomial Chaos

Apply the Polynomial Chaos method to approximate the random polarization

$$au\dot{\mathcal{P}} + \mathcal{P} = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_d E, \quad au = au(\xi) = r\xi + m, \quad \xi \in (-1, 1)$$

resulting in

$$(rM + mI)\dot{\vec{\alpha}} + \vec{\alpha} = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_d E \vec{e_1}.$$

Apply the Polynomial Chaos method to approximate the random polarization

$$au\dot{\mathcal{P}} + \mathcal{P} = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_d E, \quad au = au(\xi) = r\xi + m, \quad \xi \in (-1, 1)$$

resulting in

$$(rM + mI)\dot{\vec{\alpha}} + \vec{\alpha} = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_d E \vec{e_1}.$$

The macroscopic polarization, the expected value of the random polarization at each point (t, x), is simply

$$P(t,x;F) = \alpha_0(t,x).$$

Existence of PC Solutions

Theorem (REU2010)

For the beta-Jacobi chaos (including uniform-Legendre), there exists a unique solution to the system

$$A\dot{ec{lpha}} + ec{lpha} = ec{g}$$

(with initial conditions) for any p.

Proof.

Relies on the fact that the invertibility of A follows from $\rho(M) < 1$ and the assumption that $\tau_{\mu} > \tau_{\sigma}$. This is physically reasonable as to disallow negative relaxation times.



21 / 25



PC-Debye dispersion for FD with h_=0.001, r=0.5t, wt_=1

Figure: Log plots of phase error versus θ with fixed $\omega = 1/\tau_m$ for (left column) $\tau_r = 0.5 \tau_m$, (right column) $\tau_r = 0.9 \tau_m$, using $h_{\tau} = 0.001$. Legend indicates degree M of the PC expansion.

Electromagnetics

- Maxwell's Equations
- Polarization Models
- Distribution of Parameters
- Polynomial Chaos
- Results

2 Conclusions

• We have presented a random ODE model for polydispersive Debye media, can be extended to many other important polarization models

- We have presented a random ODE model for polydispersive Debye media, can be extended to many other important polarization models
- We developed an efficient numerical method utilizing polynomial chaos (PC) and finite difference time domain (FDTD), can be extended to FEM, DG, etc.

- We have presented a random ODE model for polydispersive Debye media, can be extended to many other important polarization models
- We developed an efficient numerical method utilizing polynomial chaos (PC) and finite difference time domain (FDTD), can be extended to FEM, DG, etc.
- We have shown (conditional) stability of the scheme via energy decay

- We have presented a random ODE model for polydispersive Debye media, can be extended to many other important polarization models
- We developed an efficient numerical method utilizing polynomial chaos (PC) and finite difference time domain (FDTD), can be extended to FEM, DG, etc.
- We have shown (conditional) stability of the scheme via energy decay
- We have used a discrete dispersion relation to compute phase errors

- We have presented a random ODE model for polydispersive Debye media, can be extended to many other important polarization models
- We developed an efficient numerical method utilizing polynomial chaos (PC) and finite difference time domain (FDTD), can be extended to FEM, DG, etc.
- We have shown (conditional) stability of the scheme via energy decay
- We have used a discrete dispersion relation to compute phase errors
- Exponential convergence in the number of PC terms was confirmed

- BOKIL, V. A. & GIBSON, N. L. (2012), Analysis of Spatial High Order Finite Difference Methods for Maxwell's equations in dispersive media, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* 32 (3): 926-956.
- BOKIL, V. A. & GIBSON, N. L. (2013), Stability and Dispersion Analysis of High Order FDTD Methods for Maxwell's Equations in Dispersive Media, *Contemporary Mathematics*, Vol. 586.
- BOKIL, V. A. & GIBSON, N. L. (2014), Convergence Analysis of Yee Schemes for Maxwell's Equations in Debye and Lorentz Dispersive Media, *IJNAM*, 11(4).
- GIBSON, N. L. (2015), A Polynomial Chaos Method for Dispersive Electromagnetics, *Comm. in Comp. Phys.*, 18(5).